1

A SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DYNAMICALLY CONSTRUCTING PACKET CLASSIFICATION RULES

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates generally to computer networks, and more specifically relates to a system and method for dynamically constructing rules to classify

5   packets transmitted across a network.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

      Packet based computer networks transmit information in packets that are formatted with a sequence of well-known header fields that direct the packets through the network. For example, a TCP/IP packet on an Ethernet network consists of three parts, an Ethernet header, an IP header, and a TCP header. The Ethernet header in turn includes three well-known fields. The source address, the destination address and the "EtherType" field. From the EtherType field the format of subsequent data may be determined. For instance, if the EtherType field indicates the packet contains an IP datagram, packet field values for the IP datagram allow the determination of source address, destination address and protocol fields. The protocol field identifies the type of data that follows, such as TCP, UDP, etc. The packet header information is used by network computer devices to route data through the network.

      Network computing devices perform routing and switching functions based upon computations performed on the packet field values. For instance, router software on a general purpose computer sends packets to different output network interfaces based upon computations performed from header packet field values. To improve network transmission speeds, special purpose devices are used to perform simple, well specified functions at high speeds that direct network traffic. For instance, network appliances such as routers, switches and firewalls perform fixed functions based on one or more fixed fields using hard wired instructions that process packets in a substantially more rapid manner than

software functions. As an example, a router computes the
output interface for a packet based on the packet's
destination address in the IP header.

Although hard wired instructions, such as those
5   defined in application specific integrated circuits
(ASICs) provide for rapid processing of packets through a
network, ASIC designs are typically inflexible since the
hard wired instructions generally cannot be reprogrammed
through software. Thus, for example, networks that rely
10   on routers have difficulty implementing services which
generally call for varying packet processing behaviors
since router functions are generally hard wired into
ASICs. For instance, internet service providers that
provide customer access to the Internet over router based
15   networks have difficulty deploying services that provide
for individual handling of packets related to specific
customers.

In order to aid in the deployment of services to
packet based networks, programmable network processors
20   have been developed for use in network appliances such as
routers, switches and firewalls. Network processors run
a software program that determines the processing of
packets but handles packets in a rapid manner by
performing certain functions specific to processing of
25   network packets through hardware implementations. For
example, network processors support table look-up
operations with hard wired instructions allowing routing
functions that rely on table look-ups to occur at rates
much faster than available through general purpose
30   processors. Network processors support programs that
look at packet field values and perform table look-up

operations to determine the processing for the packet.
For example, a program on a network processor classifies
a packet by using information from IP source and
destination address field values. The fields examined

5   and the combination of the fields are determined by the
program loaded on the network processor.

One difficulty with network processors is that
loading a program on a network processor takes several
seconds and brings the network processor off line so that

10 packets are either dropped or passed through the network
processor without processing. Thus, as an example, a
network processor used in a router will not route packets
while a new program is loaded. In systems that use fixed
combinations of fields to process packets, the

15 programming limitation of network processors does not
present a substantial difficulty since the program
running on the network processor need not change very
often. However, in order to provide services to packet
based networks, such as with the programmable network

20 nodes disclosed in U. S. Patent Application Serial Number
_____ (Attorney Docket No. 066101.___) entitled
"System and Method for Programming Network Nodes," which
is incorporated herein by reference, the program running
on the network processor may have to change more often.

25 Another difficulty with programming network
processors is that newly added network processor programs
must continue to process packets at line rates to avoid
degrading network operations. For instance, if a program
on a network processor fails to process packets at line

30 rates, packets will be dropped and network performance
severely degraded. The addition of new classifiers to a

network processor program has an unpredictable effect on
the speed at which the program operates on the network
processor. Thus, especially in the case of complex
packet processing behavior implementations, the

5   reliability of new network processor programs is
difficult to predict.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Therefore, a need has arisen for a system and method which dynamically constructs rules in a network processor while the network processor remains on line.

A further need has arisen for a system and method which provides flexibility for network processors to enable a variety of packet processing behaviors in a reliable manner at line speed.

A further need has arisen for a system and method which allows construction of packet classifiers in a dynamic manner that supports deployment of services to a packet based network.

In accordance with the present invention, a system and method is provided that substantially eliminates or reduces disadvantages and problems associated with previously developed systems and methods for classifying packets transferred across a packet based network. A program selects predetermined packet field values and classifies packets by matching one or more packet field values with a data structure. New packet classifications are created by updating the data structure to associate the one or more predetermined packet field's values with the new packet classification without changing the program.

More specifically, a network processor runs a programmably fixed program that supports dynamic creation of packet classifiers through exploitation of high speed network processor table look-up operations. The dynamic classifiers are arbitrary Boolean combinations of values from packet fields extracted from network packet headers by the program of the network processor. Packet

processing behaviors are added as new rules by modifying tables within a data structure while maintaining the underlying network processing code unchanged.

5   In one embodiment, the network processor program parses packets in a predetermined order encoded as a parse tree on the network processor. Each node of the parse tree identifies packet fields examined and each branch of the parse tree indicates the value of extracted fields. The network processor examines packet field

10   values according to the parse tree programming to extract and save useful information. When a leaf node of the parse tree is reached, a transmit function is called that uses one or more of the captured field values to compute a classification destination identification (DID) for the

15   packets.

The packet classification computed by the transmit function results from the matching of relevant field values with a data structure to compute the destination identification. The transmit functions use network

20   processor table look-up functions to perform matching between pattern trees and ordered virtual trees. The pattern tree match identifies the longest match value and provides a virtual handle for use in the ordered virtual tree. The transmit function then matches the virtual

25   handles against the ordered virtual tree data structure to compute the destination identification for classification of the packet. The pattern trees match values extracted from pattern fields and the ordered virtual trees match combinations identified with virtual

30   handles from the pattern tree. In this manner, arbitrary Boolean combinations of extracted header fields can be

formed to provide high speed and fine grained classification rules which are dynamically added or deleted through modification of table values without changing the parse tree program and causing disruption of

5   service.

       In the operation of one embodiment, the network processor parsing program and data structure run on a pattern processor to classify packets transmitted through a network. For instance, a programmable node includes a

10   pattern processor and system interface that allows prioritized rules to classify packet flows, such as to enable a service. The rules are sets of properties of packets identified by an associated identifier. The packet values for enabling the rules are extracted from

15   packet fields by the parsing program. Rules are installed without a need for modifying the parsing program by instead updating the data structure pattern trees and ordered virtual trees. The dynamic insertion and deletion of values from pattern trees and ordered

20   virtual trees are performed without interrupting network processor operations.

       The present invention provides a number of important technical advantages. One important technical advantage is that rules are dynamically constructed in a network

25   processor for classification of packets while the network processor is on-line. The dynamic construction of rules by updating and modifying the data structure while leaving the network processor program unchanged avoids service interruption while allowing classification rules

30   to involve arbitrary Boolean combinations of header fields. The programmably fixed parsing program of the

network processor allows the creation of rules at a rate of several thousand rules per second on current generation network processor hardware since the fixed program extracts desired field values from packets in a

5   consistent manner and enables changes to packet processing behaviors by modifying data structures instead of network processor programming.

Another important technical advantage of the present invention is that packet processing behavior rules are

10   rapidly constructed and used on a programmable node to classify packets by arbitrary Boolean combinations of header fields without risk of slowing down network processor operations below line speed. In addition to bring a network processor off line, reprogramming of a

15   network processor to handle new classifiers may have a varied impact on network processor performance. Thus, by maintaining a programmably fixed network processor program that handles new classifications with updates to data structures instead of changing the program, the

20   present invention provides for dynamic construction of packets processing behaviors without risk of slowing down network processor operations. The "fixed" network processor program may be carefully tested to ensure that it maintains line rates with any combination of rules.

25   Another important technical advantage of the present invention is that programmable nodes may update services dynamically and on a real time basis with minimal impact on the performance of a packet based network. Such services may rely on classification rules involving

30   arbitrary Boolean combinations of header fields, such as directing identified packet flows from a source to a

destination at a predetermined service level or with
other desired packet processing behaviors, including
blocking undesired flows like pornography or Napster, and
forwarding identified flows to predetermined queues or

5   paths.

10

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

A more complete understanding of the present invention and advantages thereof may be acquired by referring to the following description taken in
5   conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which like reference numbers indicate like features, and wherein:

FIGURE 1 depicts a block diagram of a programmable node that provides services to a packet based network;

FIGURE 2 depicts a block diagram of a pattern
10   processor for classifying packets with a programmably fixed parse tree program; and

FIGURE 3 depicts one embodiment of a parse tree for extracting packet field values from an Ethernet packet.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Preferred embodiments of the present invention are illustrated in the FIGURES, like numerals being used to refer to like and corresponding parts of the various

5   drawings.

Services are difficult to deploy in packet based networks since the service specific handling of packets, requires the inspection of packet header fields and, in some cases, data. For instance, some types of service

10   specific handling are specific routing, firewall functions based on content, bandwidth shaping to delay, prioritize or drop packets, and MPLS LERs to add tags to packets. One alternative for performing services on a packet based network is to hard wire instructions for the

15   service at nodes in the packet based network. However, hard wired instructions are difficult to deploy and change. Software based services generally do not operate at fast enough line speeds unless enhanced by specialized hardware. Network processors offer the advantage of

20   combined software and hardware designs that specialize in processing packets. However, changing the program on a network processor to enable a new packet processing behavior generally requires taking the network processor off line for several seconds, thus making reprogramming

25   of the network processor an impractical alternative when seeking to deploy services on a rapid and real time basis.

Referring now to FIGURE 1, a block diagram depicts a programmable node that uses network processors to

30   dynamically create rules for providing services to packet based networks. A programmable node 10 includes one or

more network processors that classify, modify, shape and
route packets through the packet based network. Network
processors are functionally divided into three parts, a
pattern processing (PP) function 12, a routing\switch

5   processing (RSP) function 14 and a system interface (SI)
function 16. These three functions may be handled by a
single network processor chip or separate chips as
depicted by Figure 1.

Packets received from a physical interface 18 are

10 passed to pattern processor 12. Physical interface 18
may include interfaces for Ethernet, Sonet, ATM, RPR
(802.17), TDM (T1, T3, DS3, E3) and other types of
physical networks that transmit Internet traffic.
Pattern processor 12 classifies the packet according to a

15 programmed set of rules and sends the packets along with
a classification destination identifier (DID) to
routing\switch processor 14. The routing\switch
processor 14 uses the destination identifier, which
indicates the result of the classification step, to

20 modify, shape and route the packet to an appropriate
output physical interface 18. System interface 16
installs new rules and programs in the pattern processor
12 and routing\switch processor 14.

The dynamic construction of rules for packet

25 processing behaviors are provided with a network
processor program 20 and data structure 22. A host
processor 24 programs the network processor program 20
and data structure 22 through system interface 16 to
perform rules that compute destination identifications

30 associated with a packet based on information in the
packet header and then modify, shape or route the packets

according to the destination identification through
routing\switch processor 14. Network processor program
20 is programmably fixed so that rules are dynamically
constructed with table modifications without having to

5   change network processor program 20, thus avoiding
bringing the programmable node 10 off line. Instead,
network processor program 20 extracts predetermined
packet field values in a programmed but fixed manner with
classifiers dynamically created by updating data

10 structure 22 instead of network processing program 20.
The fixed nature of network processor program 20 exploits
the table look-up operations available in network
processors to maintain high speeds and uses dynamic
classifiers of arbitrary Boolean combinations of well

15 known header field values of the network packets by
updating data structure 22. Some examples of header
fields extracted include: MPLS label, time to live, EXP
bits and BS; Ethernet source, destination MAC address,
EtherType, 802.1p priority, 802.1q VLAN identifier and

20 802.1q CFI; UDP source/dest port and length; ICMP type,
code, type-specific data; IP type of service, dont
fragment flag, protocol, time to live; and TCP flags
(SYN, FIN, ACK, URG, PSH, RSI) and length window size.

Host processor 24 prioritizes service rules so that

25 programmable node 10 classifies packet flows for
processing though the network. The prioritized rules
used by host processor 24 are a set of properties of the
packet that identify packets and associate packets with
processing behaviors. As an example, a processor rule

30 might identify all packets with a predetermined TCP
destination port and destination IP address so that those

identified packets may be processed through the network in a desired manner, such as with a predetermined service level, bandwidth allocation or path. Alternatively, a service may block transfer of such identified packets,

5   such as with pornography protection or undesirable programs such as Napster. The processing rules provided by host processor 24 have an associated identifier, such as a 20-bit or even 64-bit identifier that allows a large number of packet processing behaviors to be programmed.

10   Referring now to FIGURE 2, a block diagram depicts one embodiment of a static network processor program that allows new packet behavior rules to be installed on a programmable node 10. A network processor parse tree program 26 is a static program that remains unchanged

15   even while new packet behavior rules are installed, with the new rules implemented through modifications made to a pattern tree data structure 28 and an ordered virtual tree data structure 30. Pattern tree data structure 28 and ordered virtual tree data structure 30 each allow for

20   dynamic insertion and deletion operations without interrupting pattern processor 12's operation. A data structure modifier 32 performs dynamic insertion and deletion operations on pattern tree data structure 28 and ordered virtual tree data structure 30 to implement rules

25   dynamically by modifying data. Network processor program modifier 34 allows changes to network processor parse tree program 26, although such changes generally require that pattern processor 12 be brought off line. Thus, network processor parse tree program 26 is programmably

30   fixed so that during dynamic creation of rules the programmable node 10 remains on line by keeping the

program fixed, but the program may be modified if needed by bringing the pattern processor off line.

Pattern trees are tables with one or more entries that represent patterns and contain a bit mask. Network
5   processors, such as those available from Agere, have special hardware for using pattern trees. When a pattern tree is searched for a value, the longest match found is used with the bit mask indicating which bits of the pattern are significant. For example, a search for the
10   value 192.208.12.14 in the following pattern tree would return three matches, rows 1, 3 and 4, with row 3 having the longest match of 32 bits.

Pattern	Mask	ID
192.208.12.0	FFF0	1
10.0.0.0	F000	2
192.208.12.14	FFFF	3
0.0.0.0.	0000	4

15   Data structure modifier 32 updates the pattern tree with patterns, masks and identifiers known as virtual handles. For instance, to dynamically create a service rule associated with an IP address, data structure modifier 32 inserts the IP address as a pattern in pattern tree data
20   structure 28. Although the present embodiment uses a pattern tree data structure, alternative embodiments use other data structures that implement longest prefix matches.

Ordered virtual tree data structure 30 supports
25   dynamically constructed rules by allowing combinations of multiple patterns in a single search. Network

processors, such as those available from Agere, have
special hardware for using ordered virtual trees, also
known as OV trees. Ordered virtual trees define the
order in which rules are matched and are typically used
5   to implement access control lists such as those found in
a firewall. The ordered virtual tree is searched in
order from top downward until a first match is made at
which time the search is complete. In contrast, pattern
trees find a longest prefix match with a search of the
10   entire pattern tree to determine the most specific match,
whereas ordered virtual trees need search only for the
first match. Although the present embodiment uses an
ordered virtual tree data structure, alternative
embodiments use other data structures that implement
15   first matches.

         Referring now to FIGURE 3, an example of a parse
tree is depicted that shows a parsing order for Ethernet
packets. The parsing order is programmable into network
processor parse tree program 26. The parse tree depicts
20   how the pattern processor 12 running the network
processor parse tree program 26 will examine Ethernet
packet fields, with each node in the parse tree listing
packet fields examined and each branch indicating the
value of a particular field. The parse tree parses
25   packets from the most general header information to more
specific header information and extracts useful pieces of
header field values, such as source address or VLANID and
stores these values for use. When a leaf node of the
parse tree is reached, a transmit function is called that
30   uses the captured header field values to compute a
destination identification for the packet.

For example, the parse tree depicted by FIGURE 3
parses the indicated Ethernet packet header information
to extract the ether type, follow the IP branch, extract
the source address and destination address, follow the

5   TCP branch, extract the source port and destination port
and then call the "Do TCP" transmit function. The TCP
transmit function uses the captured field values to
compute a destination identification for the packet by
matching the relevant field values with the data

10 structure. For instance, the captured field values are
matched against pattern trees to extract corresponding
virtual handles based on the longest prefix match and
then the virtual handles are matched against the ordered
virtual tree to compute the destination identification.

15 Thus, as depicted in FIGURE 2, the network processor
parse tree program 26 matches parsed values against
pattern tree data structure 28 to obtain virtual handles
and then matches the virtual handles against ordered
virtual tree data structure 30 to obtain destination

20 identification values. The destination values are
provided along with the packet to the routing\switch
processor 14, which handles the packets according to a
processing behavior associated with the destination
identification programmed from host processor 24 to

25 system interface 16 into routing\switch processor 14.
        Advantageously, new rules for packet processing
behaviors, such as rules that enable a service, are added
by modifying the pattern tree data structure 28 and
ordered virtual tree structure 30 while the underlying

30 network processor parse tree program 26 remains
unchanged. For example, with the destination address

illustrated by FIGURE 3, in order to add a rule to "match
all packets sent to 192.208.12.*, port 80" an entry is
created in a TCP_DESTADDR pattern tree for the
destination address of 192.208.12.* and another entry is
5   created in the TCP_DESTPORT pattern tree for the
destination port 80. The combination of the destination
address and destination port are found with the TCP OV
Tree for the combination of the destination address and
the destination port. The pattern trees and ordered
10   virtual trees are encoded dynamically with conventional
techniques, such as those described in "A Tree-Based
Packet Routing Table for Berkley Unix," by K. Sklower,
Proceedings of the 1991 Winter USENIX Technical
Conference, January, 1991. Network processors available
15   from various manufacturers provide various levels of
support for functions such as table look ups. The
pattern trees and ordered virtual trees are initialized
with a single entry matching so that if no other matches
occur, the initialized entries identify a default
20   destination identification associated with unmatched
packets.

      Although the present invention has been described in
detail, it should be understood that various changes,
substitutions and alterations can be made hereto without
25   departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as
defined by the appending claims.